

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexadria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,851		10/17/2001	Sture Petterson	P120US00	1188	
466	7590	08/05/2003				
YOUNG &			EXAMINER			
745 SOUTH ARLINGTO	-	REET 2ND FLOOI 2202	₹	MORAN, T	ІМОТНҮ Ј	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2878		
					DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
•	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
P .≽	09/899,851	HIRAMITSU, NARUAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy J. Moran	2878				
The MAILING DATE f this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC to cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 October 2001 .					
	This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicatio						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	the Eveniner				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be neid in abo	disapproved by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Exemine.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	1. 14 den 25.11.0 (2				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.	2. 9 119(a)-(a) or (1).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies r)). lot received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has	s been received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

International Reports

The references cited in the Search Report completed June 8, 2000 have been considered, but will not be listed on any patent resulting from this application because they were not provided on a separate list in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1). In order to have the references printed on such resulting patent, a separate listing, preferably on a PTO-1449 form, must be filed within the set period for reply to this Office action.

The International Preliminary Examination Report completed March 2, 2001 has been considered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2878

Claims 4, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 4, the inclusion of the limitation (in lines 2-3) "a pore spacing less than the image detector pixel size" implies that the limitation (in claim 1, lines 5-6) "a pore spacing corresponding to the image detector pixel size" has been removed from claim 1, which is improper.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the inclusion of the limitation (in lines 1-2) "a pore spacing less than the image detector pixel size" implies that the limitation (in claim 5, lines 4-5) "a pore spacing corresponding to the image detector pixel size" has been removed from claim 5, which is improper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Apte, U. S. Patent No. 6,177,236. Regarding claim 1, Apte describes a method for fabricating a structured scintillating device characterized by the steps of fabrication of a silicon pore matrix (fig. 2, col. 7, lines 6-16) presenting a pore spacing corresponding to

Art Unit: 2878

an image detector pixel size (fig. 4, col. 8, lines 32-39), by utilizing silicon etching techniques (col. 8, lines 40-57) such as ion beam etching (see abstract) providing high aspect ratios (col. 10, lines 37-49), and using the silicon pore matrix as a mold when melting scintillator material into the pores (col. 11, lines 39-55). Regarding the limitation "in order to eliminate grain-boundary scattering of scintillating photons," it is considered inherent to the melting process.

Regarding claim 3, Apte describes the step of providing a reflection layer by depositing a metallic reflection layer (col. 8, line 58 – col. 9, line 11).

Regarding claim 4, Apte describes the production of a pore spacing less than the pixel size (col. 8, lines 31-39).

Regarding claim 5, Apte describes a scintillating device characterized by utilization of a fabrication method producing a silicon pore matrix (fig. 2, col. 7, lines 6-16) presenting a pore spacing corresponding to an image detector pixel size (fig. 4, col. 8, lines 32-39), the pore matrix having thin walls with thickness down to a few micrometers (col. 7, lines 17-25), where the pore matrix contains scintillating material melted into the pores (col. 11, lines 39-55). Regarding the limitation "in order to eliminate grain-boundary scattering of scintillating photons," it is considered inherent to the melting process.

Regarding claim 6, Apte describes the step of providing a reflection layer by depositing a metallic reflection layer (col. 8, line 58 – col. 9, line 11).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Apte describes the production of a pore spacing less than the pixel size (col. 8, lines 31-39).

Art Unit: 2878

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: Claim 2 includes the limitations that a light guiding reflection layer is formed by

silicon oxidation or by deposition of a material having a refractive index lower than that

of the scintillator material.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Moran whose telephone number is 703-305-

0849. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for

regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

T.M.

TM

July 25, 2003

CONSTANTINE HANNAHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 2878

Page 5